

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the Application in view of the above amendment and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Status of the Claims

Claims 5 and 10 were cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter therein.

Claims 2 and 7 were previously canceled.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11 and 12 are pending in the application.

Status of Application

The Examiner has rejected the Abstract and the Abstract of the Disclosure is amended to avoid the objection raised by the Examiner.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112

The rejection of claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being indefinite is traversed. The Examiner asserts that the words “permitting” and “permission” in the claims are indefinite.

The last paragraph of claim 1 is substantially similar to the description on page 7, lines 13-15 of the application where the term execution permitting unit is the name of hardware; and the phrase execution permission for a process refers to giving operation permission to the server device to communicate to the terminal device (underscoring added for emphases).

Thus, the first underscored group of words is the name of hardware and the second group of underscored words refers to either allowing or denying the process to be executed.

Clearly, the presence of the words “permitting” and “permission” in claim 1 is not indefinite.

The reasons given above for avoiding the rejection of claim 1 also applies to each of the remaining claims rejected by the Examiner. Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

Each and every point raised in the Office Action dated August 23, 2007 has been addressed on the basis of the above amendment and remarks. In view of the foregoing it is believed that claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11 and 12 are in condition for allowance and it is respectfully requested that the application be reconsidered and that all pending claims be allowed and passed to issue.

If there are any other issues remaining which the Examiner believes could be resolved through a Supplemental Response or an Examiner's Amendment, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below.

In view of the above Amendment, Applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: November 14, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By Louis J. DelJudice
 Louis J. DelJudice
 Registration No.: 47,522
 DARBY & Darby & Darby
 P.O. Box 770
 Church Street Station
 New York, New York 10008-0770
 (212) 527-7700
 (212) 527-7701 (Fax)
 Attorneys/Agents For Applicant

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant